

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 KUSUM N. LATA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 NBS DEFAULT SERVICES LLC, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:22-cv-01960 TLN CKD PS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

17 Plaintiff, who proceeds in this action without counsel, filed a civil complaint on October  
18 31, 2022. (ECF No. 1.) Recent court orders were served on plaintiff's address of record and  
19 returned by the postal service as undeliverable with the notation that delivery was refused or  
20 refused under protest. Plaintiff has either failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires  
21 that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change, or has  
22 deliberately refused service.

23 Plaintiff is informed that all court orders will be served to plaintiff's address of record and  
24 that acceptance of those orders is necessary to prosecution of the instant action. Plaintiff is further  
25 informed that any further refusal to accept delivery of court orders will result in a  
26 recommendation that this action be dismissed. See Local Rule 110.

27 In relevant part, Local Rule 182(f) provides: "Each appearing attorney and pro se party is  
28 under a continuing duty to notify the Clerk and all other parties of any change of address or

1 telephone number of the attorney or the pro se party. Absent such notice, service of documents at  
2 the prior address of the attorney or pro se party shall be fully effective.” Further, Local Rule  
3 183(b) provides: “A party appearing in propria persona shall keep the Court and opposing parties  
4 advised as to his or her current address. If mail directed to a plaintiff in propria persona by the  
5 Clerk is returned by the U.S. Postal Service, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the Court and  
6 opposing parties within sixty-three (63) days thereafter of a current address, the Court may  
7 dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute.”

8 Plaintiff has also failed to oppose defendant’s January 12, 2023 motion to consolidate  
9 cases. (ECF No. 20.) The Local Rules provide, in pertinent part:

10 Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing  
11 and shall be filed and served no later than fourteen (14) days after the  
12 motion was filed. A responding party who has no opposition to the  
13 granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect,  
14 specifically designating the motion in question. No party will be  
entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments if  
opposition to the motion has not been timely filed by that party. See  
L.R. 135. A failure to file a timely opposition may also be construed  
by the Court as a nonopposition to the motion.

15 Local Rule 230(c). Plaintiff is reminded that failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil  
16 Procedure, Local Rules of Practice, or orders of this court could result in dismissal of this action.

17 For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within 14 days of the  
18 date of this order, plaintiff shall show cause in writing why the court should not dismiss this case  
19 for refusing to accept delivery of court orders, or failing to keep the court apprised of plaintiff’s  
20 current address, and for failing to file a response to defendant’s January 12, 2023 motion to  
21 consolidate cases. (ECF No. 20.)

22 Dated: April 4, 2023

23   
24 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 8.Lata22cv1960.osc  
26  
27  
28